

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Viginia 22313-1450 www.nspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/679,353	10/05/2000	Wayne Ernest Conrad	5562-966/PMdC	8049
1059 7	590 06/12/2003	·		
BERESKIN AND PARR SCOTIA PLAZA 40 KING STREET WEST-SUITE 4000 BOX 401			EXAMINER	
			SNIDER, THERESA T	
TORONTO, ON M5H 3Y2 CANADA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1744	
	•		DATE MAILED: 06/12/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/679,353	CONRAD ET AL.				
·	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Theresa T. Snider	1744				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the co	correspondenc address				
THE REPLY FILED 03 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THE THEORY FILED 03 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THEORY FOR THEORY FILED 03 JUNE 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THEORY FILED WAS BEEN AND PLACE OF APPER EXAMINATION (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this application and the substitution application and the substitution application and the substitution and the substitution application appl	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP				
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three me earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ision and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	pecause:					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c)	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly				
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 49-73						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	s a) approved or b) disap	proved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).					
10. Other:						
•	(5 ⁽ .5.5).					
	THERESAT. SNIDER PRIMARY EXAMINER	Theresa T. Snider Examiner Art Unit: 1744				

Application No.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: it is believed the figures do not support that the passage is 'configured to inhibit settling out of particualte matter' and is 'substantially free of dead spaces'. The figures illustrate a straight pipe; do all straight pipes inhibit settling out? do all straight pipes lack dead zones? It is further believed that Davis teaches a passage in fluid communication with the inlets of the second cleaning stage, as set forth in paper # 13. It is noted that Davis discloses the settling out of particles, prior to the air entering the passage (col. 3, lines 60-64).